

Rushmere St. Andrew Parish Council

www.rushmerestandrew.onesuffolk.net "Seek The Common Good"



Minutes of the Planning & Development Committee meeting held on Tuesday, 12th March 2019 at the Tower Hall at 7.30pm

P Richings
Miss A Cracknell, Mr M Newton, Mr R Nunn, Mr P Richings.
Members of the public = 2
Mr R Whiting (another commitment), Mr J Wright (another commitment), Mr M Shields (another commitment), Mrs B Richardson-Todd (family commitment), Mr D Francis (family commitment). An apology was received from Mr Ward after the meeting, he was unable to attend due to illness.
None.
Mrs S Stannard

1. APOLOGIES, APPROVAL OF ABSENCE, PROTOCOL & CONDUCT REMINDERS

The Chairman read out the Councillors of the Code of Conduct, protocol for debate and statutory rights to film, record, photograph or otherwise report on the proceedings of the meeting.

Apologies were noted as detailed above. Miss Cracknell proposed acceptance of reasons for councillor absence, seconded by Mr Newton with ALL in favour.

2. TO SIGN AS A CORRECT RECORD THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 12th February 2019

Miss Cracknell proposed acceptance of the Minutes subject to the following alterations:

Amend venue in heading to 'Village Hall' rather than 'Tower Hall'; and add 'footpath in' to 4b in the last paragraph after 'sign at'.

Seconded by Mr Nunn, with ALL in favour. The Minutes were duly signed by the Chairman as a correct record the above-mentioned alterations.

3. DECLARATIONS OF COUNCILLOR INTEREST

Mr Newton declared a local non-pecuniary interest as a member of Suffolk Coastal District Council and also stated that he may be asked to reconsider any matter from this meeting at District Council and at any relevant Committee/Sub Committee and in so doing, shall take into account all relevant evidence and representations made at the District level before coming to a decision.

No other declarations were made.

4. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

a. To identify public participation with respect to items on this agenda

Item 6 - DC/19/0262/FUL

b. Public forum – Members of Public/Parish Councillors may speak on any matter None

5. TO NOTE P&D DELEGATED RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE FOLLOWING PLANNING APPLICATION

Filing ref:4.01 P&D Minutes 120319 Signed as a true record: P M Ríchíngs Date: 18/04/19

	-		
DC/19/0483/FUL	Tower Farm, Brendon	IP5 1NJ	Proposed single storey replacement garage
	Drive, Rushmere St		
	Andrew		

Mr Richings gave a situation report following his examination of the proposal documentation.

<u>Application form</u> – Pre-application advice (DC/PREAPP/18/4357) sought regarding "the requirement for a householder application".

<u>Plans</u> – The plans show an "L-shaped" building incorporating a new double garage & store.

Latest Consultation Expiry Date - 08/03/19 (Site Notice)

<u>Delegated Recommendation</u> – This proposal would replace a previous building destroyed by fire. The new building would appear to be to a similar footprint & style to the original, whence delegated response of approval.

DC/19/0483/FUL – Tower Farm, Brendon Drive, Rushmere St Andrew this was considered a simple application and a delegated response made "Rushmere St Andrew Parish Council recommends APPROVAL".

This application had a response date of 5th March 2019 hence the Clerk and Chairman made a delegated response to SCDC under the 'simple' application policy.

Response: Rushmere St Andrew Parish Council recommends APPROVAL. Councillors noted and approved the response.

DOUGOLOGIAN		
DC/18/4644/VOC	Land East of Adastral	Variation of conditions 32 and 34 of DC/17/1435/OUT –
	Park, Martlesham Heath	Outline Planning permission for up to 2000 dwellings,
		an employment area of 0.6ha (use Class B1), primary
		local centre comprising use Classes A1, A2, A3, A4,
		A5, B1, C3, D1, D20, secondary centre comprising
		possible use Classes A1,A3,A4), a school, green
		infrastructure (including Suitable Accessible Natural
		Greenspace (SANGs), outdoor play areas, sports
		ground and allotments/ community orchards, public
		footpaths and cycleways, vehicle accesses and
		associated infrastructure.

Mr Richings gave a situation report following his examination of the proposal documentation.

<u>Application form</u> – Pre-application advice sought.

<u>Plans</u> – Condition 34 requires that two access points (signalised access off A12 & priority junction western access off lpswich Road) to the area should be completed prior to occupation of the first dwelling. The drawings show the overall site split into areas A (development off A12) & B (development off lpswich Road).

The proposal is to split condition 34 such that:-

- The A12 access shall be completed prior to the occupation of the first dwelling in area A or 301st overall.

- The Ipswich Road access shall be completed prior to the occupation of the first dwelling in area B or 201st overall.

Condition 32 refers to the timing of implementation of the A12 access & associated Traffic Regulation Orders in the wider area. The condition appears to be poorly worded & needs to be changed to change an impossible "loop" scenario inhibiting development.

Latest Consultation Expiry Date – 07/03/19 (Advertisement & Site Notice)

Delegated Recommendation - Noted

This application had a response date of 5th March 2019 hence the Clerk and Chairman made a delegated response to SCDC under the 'simple' application policy.

Response: Rushmere St Andrew Parish Council recommends APPROVAL. Councillors noted and approved the response.

6. TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE FOLLOWING PLANNING APPLICATIONS

DC/19/0262/FUL 8 Butterfly Gardens, IP4 5TF Proposed extensions & alterations						
Rushmere St Andrew	DC/19/0262/FUL	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	IP4 5TF	Proposed extensions & alterations		

Mr Richings gave a situation report following his examination of the proposal documentation.

<u>Application form</u> – No pre-application advice sought. Materials proposed to match existing. "Works already started" box ticked "no" at time of application – although work is currently in progress. "Will the proposed works affect existing parking arrangements" box ticked "no" – note the loss of a garage in the plans.

<u>Plans</u> – The plans show extension of the property at the northern side of the building, together with a single-storey rear extension. Within the extension, additional living accommodation will be incorporated at first & second floor levels. At ground floor level, changes are made, whereby garage, utility, workshop & conservatory are replaced with pantry, tool shed, gym, utility & dining room (extending into new rear extension). At first floor level, many internal changes are made, with the new extension providing additional living accommodation. A balcony is also provided above the new extension. New stairs (within existing building) then lead up to two additional bedrooms at second floor level which are provided with pairs of roof lights to front, side & rear of dwelling.

Latest Consultation Expiry Date - 04/04/19 (Advertisement)

Two members of the public spoke about the application. One of the members of the public voiced his objections against the application.

Councillors considered the application carefully and debated the merits of the application.

Mr Nunn proposed a recommendation of approval subject to ensuring residential amenity is maintained in accordance with Policy DM23 of the Local Plan and that the velux windows have obscured glazing where overlooking could occur, seconded by Mr Newton. Resolved with ALL in favour.

Response: Rushmere St Andrew Parish Council recommends APPROVAL of this application subject to ensuring residential amenity is maintained in accordance with Policy DM23 of the Local Plan and that the velux windows have obscured glazing where overlooking could occur, seconded by Mr Newton. Resolved with ALL in favour.

DC/19/0299/FUL	102 Woodbridge Road, Rushmere St Andrew	New dwelling and proposed cartlodge at Land Rear of 102 Woodbridge, Rushmere St Andrew

Mr Richings gave a situation report following his examination of the proposal documentation.

<u>Application form</u> – Materials proposed to match existing. No pre-application advice sought. 4 car parking spaces proposed.

<u>CIL Forms</u> – Self build exemption for a whole new home is claimed.

<u>Plans</u> – A comprehensive supporting statement is provided. A new dwelling is proposed behind the existing no 102, whose existing garage is demolished to provide access to development site. A two bay, two storey cart lodge is proposed with vehicular parking below, with stairs leading to first floor store. The plans show proposed dwelling elevations & layout and, although only ground floor layout is shown, incorporated are a set of stairs. As such, it is not possible to assess their purpose. The proposals show windows generally at ground floor level, although the rear elevation shows a large "church style" window.

Latest Consultation Expiry Date – 04/03/19 (Site Notice) – Date extension granted by SCDC to PC.

Councillors considered the application carefully and debated the merits of the application.

Mr Newton proposed a recommendation of approval, seconded by Mr Nunn. Resolved with MAJORITY in favour.

Response: Rushmere St Andrew Parish Council recommends APPROVAL of this application.

	15 Claverton Way, Rushmere St Andrew	IP4 5XE	Erection of front extension and conversion of garage
Mr Richings gave a	situation report following his exam	ination of the	proposal documentation.
Application form –	Materials proposed to match existin	ig. No pre-ap	plication advice sought.
	and of a pair of semi-detached hou extension is proposed to provide a		posal changes the existing garage into a bedroom.
Latest Consultation	Expiry Date – 25/03/19 (Standard	& Neighbour)
Councillors conside	ered the application carefully and de	ebated the m	erits of the application.
Miss Cracknell prop	posed a recommendation of approv	al, secondec	by Mr Nunn. Resolved with ALL in favour.
Response: Rushm	ere St Andrew Parish Council reco	mmends AP	PROVAL of this application.
DC/19/0352/FUL	7 Salehurst Road, Rushmere St Andrew	IP3 8RT	Proposed single storey rear extension, two storey side extension and alterations
Mr Richings gave a	situation report following his exam	ination of the	proposal documentation.
Application form – I	No pre-application advice sought. M	laterials to b	e used broadly match existing.
	57/FUL "Proposed two storey rear of en permission by SCDC.	extension, pa	art two storey, part single storey side extensions and
alterations" was giv <u>Plans –</u> The plans s the rear. Whilst the	en permission by SCDC. show a two storey extension on the	western side	art two storey, part single storey side extensions and e elevation, together with a single storey extension to tension is reduced to single storey when compared
alterations" was giv <u>Plans –</u> The plans s the rear. Whilst the against the recently	en permission by SCDC. show a two storey extension on the re are a number of changes, broad	western side ly the rear ex	e elevation, together with a single storey extension to tension is reduced to single storey when compared
alterations" was giv <u>Plans –</u> The plans s the rear. Whilst thei against the recently <u>Latest Consultation</u>	en permission by SCDC. show a two storey extension on the re are a number of changes, broad permitted application.	western side by the rear ex e) – Date ex	e elevation, together with a single storey extension to tension is reduced to single storey when compared tension granted by SCDC to PC.
alterations" was giv <u>Plans –</u> The plans s the rear. Whilst the against the recently <u>Latest Consultation</u> Councillors conside	en permission by SCDC. show a two storey extension on the re are a number of changes, broad permitted application. <u>Expiry Date –</u> 28/02/19 (Site Notic ered the application carefully and de	western side by the rear ex e) – Date ex ebated the m	e elevation, together with a single storey extension to tension is reduced to single storey when compared tension granted by SCDC to PC.
alterations" was giv <u>Plans</u> – The plans s the rear. Whilst thei against the recently <u>Latest Consultation</u> Councillors conside Mr Newton propose	en permission by SCDC. show a two storey extension on the re are a number of changes, broad permitted application. <u>Expiry Date –</u> 28/02/19 (Site Notic ered the application carefully and de	western side ly the rear ex e) – Date ex ebated the m seconded by	e elevation, together with a single storey extension to tension is reduced to single storey when compared tension granted by SCDC to PC. erits of the application. Miss Cracknell. Resolved with ALL in favour.
alterations" was giv <u>Plans</u> – The plans s the rear. Whilst thei against the recently <u>Latest Consultation</u> Councillors conside Mr Newton propose	en permission by SCDC. show a two storey extension on the re are a number of changes, broad permitted application. <u>Expiry Date</u> – 28/02/19 (Site Notic ered the application carefully and de ed a recommendation of approval, s	western side ly the rear ex e) – Date ex ebated the m seconded by	e elevation, together with a single storey extension to tension is reduced to single storey when compared tension granted by SCDC to PC. erits of the application. Miss Cracknell. Resolved with ALL in favour.
alterations" was giv <u>Plans</u> – The plans s the rear. Whilst their against the recently <u>Latest Consultation</u> Councillors conside Mr Newton propose Response: Rushm DC/19/0677/FUL	en permission by SCDC. show a two storey extension on the re are a number of changes, broad permitted application. <u>Expiry Date</u> – 28/02/19 (Site Notic ered the application carefully and de ed a recommendation of approval, s ere St Andrew Parish Council reco	western side by the rear ex e) – Date ex ebated the m seconded by mmends AP	e elevation, together with a single storey extension to ttension is reduced to single storey when compared tension granted by SCDC to PC. erits of the application. Miss Cracknell. Resolved with ALL in favour. PROVAL of this application. Proposed new single storey rear extension to replace existing sun-lounge
alterations" was giv <u>Plans</u> – The plans s the rear. Whilst their against the recently <u>Latest Consultation</u> Councillors conside Mr Newton propose Response: Rushm DC/19/0677/FUL Mr Richings gave a	en permission by SCDC. show a two storey extension on the re are a number of changes, broad permitted application. <u>Expiry Date</u> – 28/02/19 (Site Notic ered the application carefully and de ed a recommendation of approval, s ere St Andrew Parish Council reco 15 Sandling Crescent, Rushmere St Andrew	western side by the rear ex e) – Date ex ebated the m seconded by mmends AP	e elevation, together with a single storey extension to ttension is reduced to single storey when compared tension granted by SCDC to PC. erits of the application. Miss Cracknell. Resolved with ALL in favour. PROVAL of this application. Proposed new single storey rear extension to replace existing sun-lounge
alterations" was giv <u>Plans</u> – The plans s the rear. Whilst their against the recently <u>Latest Consultation</u> Councillors conside Mr Newton propose Response: Rushm DC/19/0677/FUL Mr Richings gave a <u>Application form – I</u> <u>Plans</u> – Replaceme	en permission by SCDC. show a two storey extension on the re are a number of changes, broad permitted application. <u>Expiry Date</u> – 28/02/19 (Site Notic ered the application carefully and de ed a recommendation of approval, s ere St Andrew Parish Council reco 15 Sandling Crescent, Rushmere St Andrew situation report following his exam None shown on web	western side by the rear exactly the rear exactly e) – Date exactly bated the mass seconded by mmends AP IP4 5TW ination of the	e elevation, together with a single storey extension to ttension is reduced to single storey when compared tension granted by SCDC to PC. erits of the application. Miss Cracknell. Resolved with ALL in favour. PROVAL of this application. Proposed new single storey rear extension to replace existing sun-lounge
Alterations" was giv <u>Plans</u> – The plans s the rear. Whilst there against the recently <u>Latest Consultation</u> Councillors conside Wr Newton propose Response: Rushm DC/19/0677/FUL Wr Richings gave a <u>Application form – Magana</u> <u>Plans</u> – Replacement ncorporating exten	en permission by SCDC. show a two storey extension on the re are a number of changes, broad permitted application. <u>Expiry Date</u> – 28/02/19 (Site Notic ered the application carefully and de ed a recommendation of approval, s ere St Andrew Parish Council reco 15 Sandling Crescent, Rushmere St Andrew situation report following his exam None shown on web	western side by the rear ex- ebated the m seconded by mmends AP IP4 5TW ination of the of property, a	e elevation, together with a single storey extension to tension is reduced to single storey when compared tension granted by SCDC to PC. erits of the application. Miss Cracknell. Resolved with ALL in favour. PROVAL of this application. Proposed new single storey rear extension to replace existing sun-lounge e proposal documentation.
alterations" was giv <u>Plans</u> – The plans s the rear. Whilst their against the recently <u>Latest Consultation</u> Councillors conside Mr Newton propose Response: Rushm DC/19/0677/FUL Mr Richings gave a <u>Application form</u> – I <u>Plans</u> – Replacement incorporating exten <u>Latest Consultation</u>	en permission by SCDC. show a two storey extension on the re are a number of changes, broad permitted application. <u>Expiry Date</u> – 28/02/19 (Site Notic ered the application carefully and de ed a recommendation of approval, s ere St Andrew Parish Council reco 15 Sandling Crescent, Rushmere St Andrew situation report following his exam None shown on web ent of existing conservatory to rear of sion of existing roof line.	western side by the rear ex- e) – Date ex- ebated the m seconded by mmends AP IP4 5TW ination of the of property, a e)	e elevation, together with a single storey extension to ttension is reduced to single storey when compared tension granted by SCDC to PC. erits of the application. Miss Cracknell. Resolved with ALL in favour. PROVAL of this application. Proposed new single storey rear extension to replace existing sun-lounge e proposal documentation.
alterations" was giv <u>Plans</u> – The plans s the rear. Whilst their against the recently <u>Latest Consultation</u> Councillors conside Mr Newton propose Response: Rushm DC/19/0677/FUL Mr Richings gave a <u>Application form</u> – M <u>Plans</u> – Replacement incorporating exten <u>Latest Consultation</u> Councillors conside	en permission by SCDC. show a two storey extension on the re are a number of changes, broad permitted application. <u>Expiry Date</u> – 28/02/19 (Site Notic ered the application carefully and de ed a recommendation of approval, s ere St Andrew Parish Council reco 15 Sandling Crescent, Rushmere St Andrew situation report following his exam None shown on web ent of existing conservatory to rear of sion of existing roof line. <u>Expiry Date</u> – 14/02/19 (Site Notic	western side ly the rear ex e) – Date ex ebated the m seconded by mmends AP IP4 5TW ination of the of property, a e) ebated the m	e elevation, together with a single storey extension to tension is reduced to single storey when compared tension granted by SCDC to PC. erits of the application. Miss Cracknell. Resolved with ALL in favour. PROVAL of this application. Proposed new single storey rear extension to replace existing sun-lounge e proposal documentation.

Date: 18/0419

DC/19/0642/FUL	53 Playford Road, Rushmere St	IP4 5RJ	First floor extension over existing bungalow and 1 ¹ / ₂	
	Andrew		storey rear extension. Demolition of rear extension of	
			existing bungalow and garage.	
Mr Richings gave a	situation report following his exam	nination of t	he proposal documentation.	
Application form – No pre-application advice sought. Materials to be used broadly to match existing but concrete tiles replaced with natural slate.				
replaced with hatur	al slate.			
Plans – Total revamp of existing single storey bungalow with two storey chalet-style dwelling, the footprint of which extends further to the rear. At first floor level, windows are incorporated to front & rear elevations. Four roof-lights are proposed on east (incorrectly labelled as north) side elevation and three roof-lights, plus dormer, on the west side elevation.				
Latest Consultation	<u> Expiry Date –</u> 14/03/19 (Site Notic	ce)		
Councillors conside	ered the application carefully and d	ebated the	merits of the application.	
Miss Cracknell proposed a recommendation of approval, seconded by Mr Nunn. Resolved with ALL in favour.				
Response: Rushm	ere St Andrew Parish Council reco	ommends A	PPROVAL of this application.	
DC/19/0822/FUL	17 Elm Road, Rushmere St Andrew	IP5 1AJ	Single storey side/ rear extension to bungalow	
Mr Richings gave a situation report following his examination of the proposal documentation.				
Application form – No pre-application advice sought. Materials to be used to match existing.				
<u>Plans</u> – Removal of garage to the side of existing property and replace with single storey full length extension on south elevation. Existing small flat roof extension to rear has pitched roof incorporated as part of the changes.				
Latest Consultation Expiry Date – 20/03/19 (Standard & Neighbour)				
Councillors considered the application carefully and debated the merits of the application.				
Mr Newton proposed a recommendation of approval, seconded by Mr Richings with ALL in favour.				
Response: Rushm	Response: Rushmere St Andrew Parish Council recommends APPROVAL of this application.			

7. ANY OTHER PLANNING APPLICATIONS RECEIVED SINCE THE PUBLICATION OF THIS AGENDA None

8. TO NOTE ANY PLANNING APPLICATION REFERRALS RECEIVED

DC/19/0334/FUL	12 Sandpit Close, Rushmere	Retrospective Application – Erection of a detached garden
	St Andrew	outbuilding
		(Referral under trigger point 3)

The Clerk informed Councillors that a referral letter was received for 12 Sandpit Close, Rushmere St Andrew under trigger point 3. This is when a planning application classified as an "Other Application" where an objection has been made raising material planning objections within the prescribed consultation period and when officers are minded to approve. The Clerk in consultation with the Chairman asked for the application to be referred as the Parish Council recommended refusal. The reasons were:

Rushmere St Andrew Parish Council recommends REFUSAL. The proposal is not in keeping with the surrounding area. The outbuilding is situated on the boundary of the property and clearly visible from Bixley Lane. It is of a significant height. The colour of the materials is out of character with other buildings in the lane and it has a detrimental visual impact on Bixley Lane. It is considered that the proposal is against Policy DM23 a and c of the Suffolk Local Plan, it would result in a form of development out of character with the area/ street scene and the proposal is not well related to adjacent properties and designed in isolation.

Date: 18/0419

9. TO NOTE PLANNING DECISIONS RECEIVED SINCE THE LAST MEETING

The Clerk reported on planning decisions made by Suffolk Coastal District Council, which had been received since those reported at the last Planning & Development meeting.

DC/18/5247/OUT	Land adjacent No 155, The Street, Rushmere St Andrew	Proposed Residential Development of 53 Dwellings Comprising 18 no Retired Apartments, 14 no Affordable Houses & 21 no Open Market Houses Planning Committee 12/12/2018 – Recommend REFUSAL Suffolk Coastal District Council - PLANNING PERMISSION WITH CONDITIONS
DC/18/5183/FUL	Garden End House, 5 Lamberts Lane, Rushmere St Andrew	Infill existing covered area to the rear elevation Planning Committee 12/02/2019 – Recommend approval Suffolk Coastal District Council – PLANNING PERMISSION WITH CONDITIONS
DC/18/5151/FUL	14 Playford Road, Rushmere St Andrew	Proposed first floor link and conversion of existing attic space over garage into accommodation Planning Committee 12/02/2019 – Recommend approval Suffolk Coastal District Council – PLANNING PERMISSION WITH CONDITIONS
DC/19/0180/TPO	89 Playford Road, Rushmere St Andrew	Prune back Cedar tree by 3-4m over driveway to let more light to property and stop sap dropping on cars Delegated approval reported at Planning Committee 12/02/2019 Suffolk Coastal District Council – PLANNING PERMISSION

10. ENFORCEMENTS & APPEALS – TO NOTE/REPORT ANY RELEVANT MATTERS

The Clerk advised that the Suffolk Coastal District Council enforcement team is currently investigating whether extensions at 53 Playford Road need planning permission; the authority is also investigating contravention of conditions of the approval for planning application DC/17/0480/FUL – 65 Beech Road; and contravention of conditions of the approval for planning application DC/17/4338/FUL 36-38 Woodbridge Road. Feedback for each of the cases are as follows:

- 65 Beech Road A formal notice was sent to the proprietor of Beech Road that the operation is in breach of planning control in respect of 2 matters: unauthorised signage; and mechanical plant not in accordance with details approved under the original planning permission (DC/17/0480/FUL). The proprietor has been advised that a period of 28 days is given for the necessary action to be undertaken otherwise the District Council will have to take enforcement proceedings which potentially could be a stop notice. The planning officer has been in contact with the proprietor and the planning agent acting on his behalf. They are working on a strategy where most of the ventilation/extraction equipment can be re-located to inside the building therefore reducing the noise impacts on surrounding properties. This is a complex technical exercise so this has not been fully formulated and submitted to the Council for consideration through an application. No further update.
- 36-38 Woodbridge Road Initial feedback from the planning officer is that it is unlikely that enforcement action will be taken. The tree is not protected by a TPO and the other vegetation was removed before the planning permission was granted. Planning officer confirmed that no breach of planning conditions occurred. Enforcement case closed.
- Clerk reported shed in garden of 53 Playford Road, Rushmere St Andrew. Awaiting feedback.

11. ANY OTHER MATTERS & CORRESPONDENCE

- The Clerk reported that she has received correspondence from a resident regarding public participation at Council meetings and publication of councillor's email addresses.
- The Clerk reported that she received an email from Suffolk County Council regarding temporary closure of Elm Road and Woodbridge Road during March/April 2019.

12. DETERMINATION OF ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDA

None

13. CLOSE OF MEETING

The Chairman closed the meeting at 8.50pm.

Date: 18/0419